The loss of phosphorus (P) from the landscape is commonly viewed as deleterious for surface water quality. However, the quantities lost and the impact this can have on surface waters depends on numerous mechanisms that occur whilst en route. The aim of this review is to give an outline of these mechanisms and thus how sources of P in the agricultural landscape are connected to the impairment of surface water quality. Processes are dealt with by examining the potential for P loss from the landscape and its availability to aquatic plants during flow overland and subsurface flow and once in streamflow or a lake or reservoir. By examining the connectivity between P loss and the impact on surface water quality, potential mitigation and management of P losses are discussed for various aquatic systems.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Losses of phosphorus (P) from land, an essential nutrient for crop and animal production, have caused major problems world-wide in streams, rivers and lakes through the effects on aquatic ecosystem production (Carpenter et al., 1998; Sharpley, 2000). Although these losses might be minor compared with the amount of fertilizer applied to land, aquatic primary producers can be extremely sensitive to even minor increases in P. For example, freshwater algae can sequester P at the picomolar level and thus even very minor increases in P concentrations can potentially stimulate algal production (Hudson et al., 2000). Thus, in waterways where primary production is P-limited, increasing P supply to concentrations in the low parts per billion range has led to high growths of algae and associated eutrophication (e.g., Bothwell, 1985; Biggs, 2000a). Recently, the US Environmental Protection Agency (1996) identified eutrophication as the most ubiquitous water quality impairment in the US, with agriculture a major contributor of P (US Geological Survey, 1999). Similarly, extensive
problems with eutrophication have been reported in other areas such as New Zealand (Biggs, 1985), Australia (Harris, 1994), and Europe (Whitton, 2000). The outcome of such anthropogenic eutrophication is that water use for fisheries and recreation is restricted due to the increased growth of undesirable (and sometimes toxic) algae and aquatic weeds, and oxygen shortages caused by the decomposition of plant matter (e.g., Cyanobacteria and *Pfiesteria*). Such blooms contribute to summer fish kills, unpalatability of drinking water, the formation of carcinogens during water chlorination, and have been tentatively linked to neurological impairment in humans (Kotak *et al.*, 1993; Burkholder and Glasgow, 1997). The economic impact of eutrophication on fishing and water treatment industries in the eastern US alone has amounted to over $1 billion over the last decade (Greer, 1997).

Attention has centred on agriculture as a primary source of P loss to surface waters and thereby eutrophication. This is due to an extensive number of catchment-scale studies that have shown much higher concentrations of P in streams draining areas of agricultural land compared with undeveloped areas (e.g., Smith *et al.*, 1993; Cooper and Thomsen, 1988). Also, it is easier to identify and mitigate sources of P than nitrogen (N, another limiter of eutrophication), although there are some uncontrollable P inputs via precipitation (c. 0.1–6.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\); Newman, 1995). In addition, intensification and specialization of farming systems has led to regional surpluses of P imported in fertilizer and animal feed compared with P exported in farm produce (Carpenter *et al.*, 1998). Now many farms have soil P concentrations well in excess of plant needs with increased potential for P loss (Sharpley, 2000).

We have begun to realize that P loss does not occur from the entire catchment and small areas within the catchment can dominate P losses to streams. The dominance of these small areas, termed critical source areas (CSAs), is dependent upon many factors, including soil type, topography, management (e.g., inputs of fertilizer and manure, and off-takes in crops or forage), and transport processes that are dependent upon environmental and hydrological conditions. The interaction between these factors is complex and varies spatially and temporally. However, in general, CSAs are defined by a high concentration of P available to flow and a high potential for flow, equating to a high potential for loss. Nevertheless, the interactions between P availability and flow regimes are intricate and complicated further by the influence of downstream effects which mediate the eventual impact of landscape P loss on surface water quality. Numerous reviews have dealt with P loss from land to water or the impact once in the stream (e.g., Correll, 1998; Sharpley, 2000). Little attempt has been made to link the two systems together. Hence, our objectives in this review are to outline the major interactions and processes involved in connecting P loss from the landscape to the site of impact, whether that is a stream, river or lake. This review is split into three sections. The first deals with P loss from the landscape, whilst the second deals with processes that alter the behavior and impact of P once in the aquatic system. Finally, a third section outlines a secondary objective, which is to examine some management practices for P in relation to the connectivity between mitigating losses from the landscape and associated impacts on freshwater ecosystems.

2 TERMINOLOGY

Terminology needed to understand this review is divided into three categories: those that relate primarily to flow pathways and aquatic systems (limnological); those that relate to P impact in aquatic ecosystems (ecological); and those that relate to the behavior and bioavailability of P (chemical). A theoretical schematic of interactions is given in Figure 1.
2.1 Limnological Terminology

Flow pathways and aquatic systems are referred to by:

*Catchment (or watershed).* Land surface delineating a drainage area from which water is discharged in stream flow.

*Stream.* Water that flows in a natural channel.

*Runoff.* That part of precipitation which ends up in streams or lakes (i.e. the combined flow of surface water, subsurface drainage and groundwater pathways).

*Overland flow (or surface runoff).* That part of rainfall or snowmelt which flows overland to streams or directly to lakes.

*Subsurface flow (or drainage).* That part or rainfall or snowmelt which infiltrates the soil and moves to streams or lakes as ephemeral, shallow, or perched flow above the water table. In agricultural landscapes, the downward movement (or *leaching*) of subsurface flow can be intercepted by artificial drainage systems such as tile drains.

In addition to overland and subsurface flow, other contributors of flow to streams and lakes include direct precipitation and *groundwater flow,* which is precipitation that has become part of the ground water *runoff,* via flow through the *hyporheic zone,* the saturated area beneath stream banks and beds where a mixture of surface and ground water occurs.
In deep reservoirs and lakes, stratification into layers of different water density may occur due to temperature differentials. The region of warm water near the surface of stratified water bodies is called the epilimnion, the cold deep water the hypolimnion and the intermediate layer the metalimnion. This stratification prevents water and P from mixing and is only broken down by turbulence or large changes (e.g., changing seasons) in temperature. This is called turnover. This break-down and mixing can often lead to changes in the ecology and trophic status of the water body.

2.2 Ecological Terminology

For surface water bodies, many trophic states exist. In water bodies where secondary production (i.e. animal growth) and respiration exceeds primary production (nutrient related algae and plant growth), an external source of energy is required. Such water bodies are called heterotrophic; a forested catchment where leaf fall is the main source of energy is an example. In water bodies where primary production is equal to or greater than secondary production, four trophic states are possible:

Oligotrophic. Water bodies with low nutrient supply that typically have good water clarity (the exception being Bog lakes).
Mesotrophic. Intermediate in nutrient supply between oligotrophic and eutrophic states.
Eutrophic. Well nourished systems rich in nutrients.
Hypereutrophic. Water bodies with an excessive supply of nutrients.

The growth of aquatic plants will vary between three basic forms, each posing a different problem for management if an over-abundance occurs causing a decrease in water quality:

Phytoplankton. Microscopic algae suspended in the water column that take up P directly from water. They include cyanobacteria which have gas vacuoles allowing them to float to the surface for maximal light exposure, also causing a surface scum.
Periphyton. Dominated by algae, but also inclusive of some bacteria, fungi and protozoa. These attach to surfaces such as rocks, woody debris or vascular plants. The two main types of algae are the many cell long chain filamentous algae and single cell non-filamentous algae attached to the bed.
Macrophytes. Vascular plants with roots, stem and leaves that overall are larger than 0.5 mm. They may be rooted in sediment or free-floating. Those that are rooted obtain their P from bed sediment and foliar uptake and also help to minimize sediment disturbance.

Where each class of plant occurs depends on P supply and the physical environment. For instance, in deep, turbid water, periphyton does not grow due to light limitation, but would support phytoplankton, which could float near the surface. On the other hand, fast flowing rivers do not support phytoplankton, which are swept away before becoming established. Macrophytes can take up P from sediment and streamflow, and following grazing or dieback, P in macrophytes may become available for phytoplankton. Excessive phytoplankton growth can restrict macrophyte growth via light limitation. However, management to decrease phytoplankton may allow macrophytes to re-establish.

2.3 Chemical Terminology

In terms of P forms, total P (TP) in flow can be separated into dissolved P (DP) or particulate P (PP) by filtration through a 0.45 \( \mu \text{m} \) membrane filter, and in-turn, inorganic or organic fractions. Inorganic P or orthophosphate is commonly referred to as that detectable by
molybdate-based colorimetry of Watanabe and Olsen (1965). Some confusion can arise in the
detection of inorganic P by colorimetry via the enhanced hydrolysis of loosely-bound inor-
ganic and organic P forms (Stevens, 1979), or small colloidal material depending upon filtra-
tion (Sinaj et al., 1998; McDowell and Sharpley, 2001a). Most confusion can be overcome by
carefully defining P forms. Here, P is simply defined as dissolved reactive P (DRP) if
previously filtered (<0.45 μm) and detectable by colorimetry, otherwise samples without
the filtration method specified (but still largely inorganic P) are termed reactive P (RP).
A filtered and unfiltered sample subjected to a digestion for TP yields total dissolved P
(TDP) and TP, with PP calculated as the difference between TP and TDP. A fraction commonly
defined as dissolved organic P (DOP), but more accurately described as dissolved unreactive P
(DURP) due to the aforementioned interference, is obtained as the difference between TDP and
DRP.
In terms of availability to aquatic plants, and thus potential for eutrophication, RP or DRP is
generally considered fully available while only a proportion of PP is available (Biggs, 2000a;
Table I; Sharpley, 2000). A large proportion of DOP can become algal available in the aquatic
environment if it is broken down to orthophosphate by extracellular enzymes such as alkaline
phosphomonoesterase, phosphodiesterase, phospholipase and phytase (Whitton et al., 1991;
Wetzel, 2001). The use of DRP is advocated for assessing the nutrient status of rapidly flowing
streams and rivers (especially where there are moderate to high concentrations of inorganic
sediments which can be high in P; Biggs, 2000a). Conversely, TP is advocated for assessing
the nutrient status of lakes, as much PP is present in algae where it can be solubilized and made
available for further algal growth (Dodds and Welch, 2000). An additional measurement is
Mat P or the percentage mass of periphyton mat that is composed of P (Table I). Mat P is deter-
mined following digestion of a small subsample of the mat. This parameter incorporates all P
in the mat and does not distinguish between organic and inorganic fractions, nor that contained
in different biotic components (e.g., algae, protozoa, micro-invertebrates, etc.), but can be use-
ful in determining growth rates and for use in modeling (Table I).

3 THE POTENTIAL FOR P LOSS FROM THE LANDSCAPE

3.1 Dissolved P
In acidic soils, P occurs largely as Al- and Fe-phosphates, whereas in neutral to alkaline soils
P occurs largely as Ca- and Mg-phosphates sorbed onto the surface of Ca and Mg carbonates
(Lindsay, 1979). Phosphorus is most available and mobile at pH 6–7. However, combinations
of Al–, Fe–, Ca– and Mg–P can occur in most agricultural soils. Consequently, forested land-
scapes generally lose less P compared to agricultural landscapes where the pH is maintained
nearer an optimum for plant growth (5.5–7.0). Phosphorus loss is supplemented by the inher-
ent P content of the soils, weathered from parent rock material with different P concentrations
as either fluroapatite or apatite. Basic igneous rock contains little P as apatite, while lime-
stone, andesite, unweathered loess, sandstone, gneiss, and diabase contain 1.3%, 0.16%,
0.07%, 0.04%, 0.03% and 0.03% P, respectively. Organic P also forms a significant part
of soil P especially in acidic soils and soils that contain much organic matter and N.
Landuse can have a significant impact on the quantity of P in organic forms with the ratio
of organic P to inorganic P decreasing from forest to grassland to arable systems.

The solubility of soil P is controlled by three chemical characteristics: (i) concentration of
P in solution; (ii) quantity of P in the soil that equilibrates with the solution; and (iii) buffer-
ing capacity of the soil controlled by sorption strength and the saturation of sorption sites
with P, and influenced soil chemical conditions (e.g., pH). Coupled with soil P solubility,
the release of P into flow varies with time. Kinetic exchange experiments using $^{33}$P, have confirmed that rapidly exchangeable soil P (within 60 seconds) is closely related to P in overland or subsurface flow (McDowell et al., 2001a). With time, P transport in overland flow becomes less related to this pool and more dependent upon the slow diffusion of P from the inside of the soil aggregate (Sharpley and Ahuja, 1983; McDowell and Sharpley, 2003a). This serves to illustrate that soil P release to overland flow is a function of the surface area available to the solution, as well as the quantity of P in soil.

During most high-flow events, the major release of P occurs at the start of the flow event when the labile pool of P is lost along with any mobile PP. At any one time, the load of DP in flow (overland or subsurface, kg) can be described by the product of soil test P (STP; such as Olsen P), flow volume (mm) and an extraction coefficient which describes the fraction of STP that can be released into flow. The extraction coefficient can be obtained from the slope of a plot of STP and overland flow DRP. For overland flow, this relationship is generally linear, while for subsurface flow the relationship is often described as either curvilinear (Lory et al., 2001) or represented by two linear regressions intersecting at a soil P threshold above which P release is greater (Heckrath et al., 1995; McDowell and Sharpley, 2001a, b). A recent paper by Koopmans et al. (2002) showed that in theory all relationships between DRP in flow and STP will be curvilinear, while the degree of curvature is dependent upon a number of factors, such as:

- The range of STP measured. The likelihood of detecting a curvilinear relationship decreases with the range of STP measured.
- Soil to solution ratio. Curvature increases as soil to solution ratio decreases (Fig. 2A).

In general, less soil is in contact with overland flow than with subsurface flow, and hence the relationship between STP and DRP in overland flow can appear linear. Field and laboratory data for UK and USA soils show the influence of different flow regimes and soil to solution ratios on the relationship (Fig. 2B and C).

Factors that enhance P extractability relative to STP include soil texture (P is more mobile in sandy soils), soils with a low P retention capacity, waterlogged soils where P is mobilized under reducing conditions, and the application of P above plant requirements.

Some organic P forms are more mobile than inorganic P forms. For example, Chardon et al. (1997) showed the enhanced downward movement through soil of organic P forms to depths of 70 cm or more following several years of application of swine manure to a sandy soil in the Netherlands. Studies using known organic P compounds such as deoxyribose nucleic acid, adenosine 5′-triphosphate and phospholipids have demonstrated their lesser affinity with soil compared to orthophosphate (Stewart and Tiessen, 1987; Leytem et al., 2002). A notable exception are inositol hexaphosphates, which tend to be more strongly bound to the soil than orthophosphate (Turner et al., 2002a). Some of these compounds are also available for use by algae (via exocellular enzymes) and thus can pose a problem in surface waters (Whitton et al., 1991). At present, studies relating the loss of organic P species to specific soil characteristics are few. While most identify that the source of organic P is largely manurial in origin, and thus subject to manure management, the background contribution of plant and soil is less well understood and the subject of much ongoing research (Turner et al., 2002b).

For inorganic P, the accuracy of relationships between P loss in flow, soil extractability and STP is subject to scale effects and variability. Preferential flow pathways, such as earthworm burrows and old root channels, are very important in the downward movement of P through the soil profile and could be missed during sampling (Sims et al., 1998; McDowell and Sharpley, 2001b; Kleinman et al., 2003). Jensen et al. (1998) showed that the concentration and saturation of P in the soil lining earthworm burrows and not the bulk soil was a major
determinant in the P lost in subsurface flow. As such, preferential flow pathways are often a conduit for the rapid transfer of surface applied P, either as manure or fertilizers, to drains and ditches (Withers et al., 2001). On a catchment scale, this pathway is emphasized by the considerable quantity of P that can be lost during base flow in a stream when the flushing potential of a storm event is absent (e.g., when liquid manure is spread on soils; Preedy et al., 2001; Withers et al., 2001). Such losses are made worse by the coincidence of manure spreading or deposition from grazing animals onto wet soils (Stamm et al., 1998; Monaghan et al., 2002). The accelerated transfer from this unfortunate combination is termed an ‘incidental transfer’ and can result in the loss of P in concentrations of 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) or more (Haygarth et al., 2000; Withers et al., 2001).

By ignoring incidental transfers, many researchers have used a constant extraction coefficient value (assuming STP extractability is similar among soils) to estimate the load of DP to surface water. This may be a reasonable enough assumption for fields not receiving any P inputs, or for background (i.e., non-incidental) P losses. However, most fields will be subject to some proportion of incidental P loss subject to fertilizer and/or manure application rates.

---

**FIGURE 2** Plots showing the influence of soil to solution ratio on the theoretical expression of P in flow (A: theoretical soil of 300 mg kg\(^{-1}\) P sorption maximum and 1.5 L mg\(^{-1}\) Langmuir affinity constant, Koopmans et al., 2002), and field and laboratory data for P in overland flow with two different (B and C: McDowell and Sharpley, 2001c) mean suspended sediment concentrations (i.e. eroded soil to solution ratios). Note the fit of a split-line and exponential model in B is a much better fit than a linear function, while the opposite is true in C.
Fortunately, with increasing scale these inputs can be buffered depending on land use. Catchments with a high sediment load also exhibit a strong buffering potential against incidental losses. This was examined by Sharpley et al. (2002), who reanalyzed data published by Sharpley and Smith (1994), Pote et al. (1999), McDowell and Sharpley (2001c). They found that the extraction coefficient (slope of the linear relationship between STP and overland flow P) increased with greater erosion or decreased soil cover (Fig. 3). It was assumed that erosion is a direct consequence of land cover and a surrogate estimate of the degree of surface soil–overland flow interaction (Sharpley, 1985a). A larger soil P extraction coefficient yields more DP into overland flow per unit STP increase, caused by a greater interaction between soil via erosion and overland flow (Fig. 3; Sharpley et al., 2002). On a catchment scale, some evidence suggests that DP loss in overland flow is a function of erosion and land management, such as the proportion of cultivated fields present in catchments (e.g., Smith et al., 1993; Ekholm et al., 2000).

3.2 Erosion and Particulate P

Much TP loss can be in particulate form. Eroded particulate material is enriched with P compared to surface soil, due to the preferential transport of light and highly P-sorptive fines compared to coarse-sized particles. Sharpley (1985b) found that the STP content of suspended sediment was, on average, three times greater than bulk soil (0–5 cm depth) and 1.5 times greater in terms of TP. The degree of P enrichment (ER) is expressed as the quotient
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**FIGURE 3** Extraction coefficient (slope of the relationship between soil test P and DP in overland flow) as a function of erosion to represent soil vegetative cover for sites in Arkansas, Oklahoma, New York, and Pennsylvania (data adapted from Sharpley and Smith, 1994; Pote et al., 1999; McDowell and Sharpley, 2001c; Sharpley et al., 2002).
of P concentration of sediment in flow and in the contributing soil. Menzel (1980) concluded that, for PP, a logarithmic relationship (Ln ER = 2.00 – 0.16 Ln sediment discharge) was appropriate for a wide range of vegetative conditions (e.g., Fig. 4). Based on TP concentrations for several catchments (see Fig. 4), ER decreases with increasing erosion. As erosion increases, there is less particle-size sorting by overland flow, proportionately less clay-sized particles transported, and P ER decreases (Fig. 4). Once an appropriate particulate ER is obtained for sediment discharge, PP loss can be calculated as the product of soil TP (kg), sediment concentration (g L⁻¹), overland flow volume (L) and ER.

Quinton et al. (2001) emphasized that P lost from low intensity rainfall events was equally important as from high intensity rainfall events. McDowell and Sharpley (2002a) showed that the load of P as PP in overland flow increases with plot length. However, this can change if manure has been freshly applied. Here, the preferential loss of manure in overland flow overwhelms P loss from soil, and is enhanced by movement in low-density flocs associated with light particulate material (McDowell and Sharpley, 2002b). The transport of flocs plays a major role in the long-distance transport of suspended sediment in the fluvial system (Stone and Murdoch, 1989). Consequently, provided there is a connection between manure on a hillslope and the stream, then the potential for P loss is greater than has been traditionally thought (i.e., solely through the erosion of sediment and DP).

In unmanured soils, antecedent moisture conditions have a significant effect on the load and form of P lost. Soils that are wetter will have a greater potential for flow and thus P movement than dry soils. However, due to slaking and dispersion effects, dry soils can produce more PP in flow than wet soils when overland flow is suddenly produced, such as could occur during infiltration-excess overland flow. McDowell and Sharpley (2002a, b) showed that the potential for a soil to supply flow with P was much larger at the start of flow compared to the end of the flow event. The difference is greater in those soils with poor aggregate stability and the potential for slaking and dispersion (where the aggregates break apart due to osmotic pressures) is high.
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**FIGURE 4** Particulate P loss and ER ratio of eroded sediment as a function of erosion in overland flow from catchments at El Reno, OK (adapted from Sharpley et al., 1991; Smith et al., 1991; Sharpley et al., 2002).
3.3 The Impact of Land Management on P Loss

Fertilizer and manure management can profoundly affect P transfers in overland flow. While soil P represents a source of P in overland flow, the application of fertilizer and manure to soil, including factors such as the type, method, timing and rate of P application, can temporarily overwhelm relationships derived between STP and P in overland flow (Sharpley and Tunney, 2000; Sharpley et al., 2001). As such, accounting for fertilizer and manure management and minimizing the risk of incidental transfer is important for the mitigation of P loss.

Mineral fertilizer and manure can greatly increase DP losses in overland flow. Kleinman et al. (2002) illustrated the relationship between DRP concentration in overland flow and water extractable P (as an estimate of P in overland flow) concentration of several manures, composts, and diammonium phosphate surface applied to a Hagerstown soil (fine, mixed, semi-active, mesic Typic Hapludalf) at a rate of 100 kg TP ha\(^{-1}\). Application of P increased DRP in overland flow by a factor of 4–26 times that lost from unamended soil, and shifted the majority of TP lost from particulate (90% in unamended soil) to dissolved (60% in amended soils) forms.

Equally important is the method of manure or fertilizer application (Romkens et al., 1973; Mueller et al., 1984; Zhao et al., 2001). Surface application of manure and mineral fertilizer concentrates P at the soil surface where it is vulnerable to loss by overland flow (Sharpley et al., 1984; Eghball and Gilley, 1999). Depending upon rainfall intensity and slope gradient, the effective depth of interaction (EDI) between overland flow and P in topsoil ranges from approximately 1–40 mm (Sharpley, 1985a). Hence, injection, knifing or incorporation by cultivation removes P from the EDI.

Modifying the timing of application relative to likely flow events can significantly alter P concentrations in flow (Westerman and Overcash, 1980; Sharpley, 1997). Following application, the potential for P loss is large and declines exponentially with time as P interacts with the soil and is converted to increasingly recalcitrant forms (Edwards and Daniel, 1993). Sharpley and Syers (1979) reported declining DRP (from >250 to <100 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\)) and TP concentrations (from >700 to 100 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\)) in tile drainage over one month following temporary, intensive grazing of paddocks by dairy cattle. Similarly, Gascho et al. (1998) observed exponential declines in DRP concentrations in overland flow (from >5000 to <1000 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\)), roughly one month after fertilizer application.

In addition to affecting the availability of P to overland flow, manure and fertilizer P sources may directly impact soil physical properties that control runoff and erosion. In the short-term, surface application of manure, particularly at high loading rates, may increase soil cover, protecting it from rain drop impact and aggregate dispersion, especially if a crust has formed (Bárthes et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2001; McDowell and Sharpley, 2003b). Over the long term, addition of manure may increase soil organic matter levels, which, in-turn, affects porosity, aggregate stability, infiltration and P loss (Rousseva, 1989; Oades and Waters, 1991; Gilley and Risse, 2000; McDowell and Sharpley, 2003b).

3.4 The Hydrologic Driver of P Loss from Landscapes

While we must consider sources of P such as soil and manures, the actual load of P loss from the landscape will be dictated by the volume of runoff. In other words, the transport of P in flow determines whether potential losses are translated into actual losses from a catchment.
Rainfall is the primary driving force behind P transfer, although some movement of P via wind erosion is also likely to occur in some regions. Rainfall events can be classified as those of:

- Low intensity and high frequency that tend to move P either in subsurface flow or overland flow in saturated areas.
- High intensity and low frequency that tend to move P by exceeding the infiltration capacity of soil and producing overland flow from a thin layer of P-rich topsoil (Fig. 1).

Due to the greater kinetic energy and erosive power of high frequency storms, more TP is lost during overland flow in particulate forms than in subsurface flow. For example, Pionke et al. (1996) showed that a few short, intense storms accounted for about 90% of the annual P export from an upland catchment. Similarly, Hortonian overland flow (limited by infiltration rate) will likely have a greater capacity to detach and move soil particles than overland flow caused by saturation-excess conditions (limited by soil water storage capacity).

In humid and temperate climates, saturation excess overland flow is described by variable source area (VSA) hydrology (Ward, 1984; Srinivasan et al., 2002a). Flow from these areas varies with time, expanding and contracting rapidly during a storm as a function of precipitation, temperature, soil-type, topography, ground water and moisture status over the catchment. The onset of flow from these areas is limited by soil water storage capacity and thus usually results from high water tables or soil moisture contents in near-stream areas. During a rainfall event, area boundaries will migrate upslope as soils saturate. In dry summer months, overland flow will come from areas closer to the stream than during wetter winter months, when the boundaries expand away from the stream channel. In catchments where infiltration-excess overland flow dominates, areas of the catchment can alternate between sources and sinks of overland flow, as a function of soil properties (largely infiltration rate), rainfall intensity, duration and antecedent moisture condition.

Transport and loss of P generally occurs from areas where overland flow contributes to stream flow, although some subsurface flow pathways may be important under certain hydrologic conditions. Loss of P in subsurface flow is generally less than that in overland flow, and will decrease as the degree of soil–water contact increases, due to sorption by P-deficient subsoils (Haygarth et al., 1998). Exceptions occur where organic matter may accelerate P loss together with Al and Fe, the soil has a small P sorption capacity (e.g., some sandy soils), or where subsurface flow travels from P-rich topsoil via macropores or is intercepted by artificial drainage (Fig. 1).

It has been thought that macropore flow only occurs when the soil is saturated. Later studies refined this to non-ponded conditions with saturated surface layers (Adreini and Steenhuis, 1990). Recent evidence using tracer dyes has shown that macropore flow may account for over half of total flow in unsaturated, undisturbed cores of well structured, dry clay soil (Bootlink and Bourma, 1991). Furthermore, on a larger, field scale, recent evidence has shown that macropore flow of effluent can occur almost immediately, once effluent has been applied (Monaghan et al., 2002).

The importance of hydrology, and in-turn P losses, varies with scale. While laboratory studies using repacked or intact soil boxes have elucidated many mechanisms involving P losses in overland flow, the relevance of these to field losses per se is unclear (e.g., Sharpley, 1985a; 1995; Srinivasan et al., 2002b). In plot studies, the hydrologic response time or the time taken for flow from the farthest point of the plot to reach the monitoring point is smaller compared to field scale studies. Furthermore, rainfall intensity declines logarithmically with time meaning that we can approximate peak flow from a plot as proportional to the contributing area (Smith, 1992; Nash et al., 2002). As such, peak flows per unit area from a plot are likely
to be greater than from a field. This is commonly found when sediment loads from small plots (20 m²) are upscaled and found to be much larger than that from much larger plots (500 m²) (Le Bissonnais et al., 1998). However, when rainfall and overland flow are constant, longer flow paths will tend to have greater and faster flow volumes and erosion than smaller plots, but also more opportunity for the selective erosion of P-rich fines. Indeed, McDowell and Sharpley (2002b) showed that PP loss increased as flowpath length increased from 1 to 10 m. The relative proportion of dissolved P decreased as a result of the selective erosion of highly P sorptive clays (clay concentrations of 24% and 34% in 2- and 10-m long flowpaths, respectively).

Unfortunately, whilst the principles that apply to one scale can be applied to another, due to variability in the landscape and rainfall, the processes that cause P to be lost or gained in overland flow can occur at the same time in different positions in the landscape. Furthermore, antecedent soil moisture conditions within a catchment are not a direct indicator of the potential for P loss in overland flow. Very dry soils not expected to produce overland flow can do so and lose much P due to hydrophobicity, and slaking and dispersion effects that result in the loss of P-rich clay-sized material (McDowell and Sharpley, 2002c). This effect can also occur on a catchment scale where the selective erosion of fines only exacerbates the problem (Rodda et al., 1998).

As discussed, the hydrologic and physiochemical factors controlling P loss vary in space and time. Increased net precipitation (determined as the difference between precipitation and evapotranspiration) to a catchment can increase the amount of discharge and the quantity of P lost by accelerating those transformations that occur before and after P reaches stream flow. However, we need to not only consider the quantity of P lost, but also the concentration and form of P loss. For example, whereas dissolved forms of P are immediately available to aquatic flora, particulate forms of P can represent a longer-term source of P via desorption. Depending upon how far down the water cycle the area of interest is (e.g., first-order stream to lake), new flow and associated P can either remove and flush, or deposit and add, to the system.

### 3.5 Variation During Overland Flow

A potential for P loss does not necessarily translate to P loss from the landscape. During overland flow, soil and associated P is lost in order of increasing particle density and weight, while the opposite occurs for deposition during overland flow and in stream flow (Slattery and Burt, 1997). Thus, fine and/or light soil particles that contain many Al- and Fe-oxides and associated P or humic-associated P are transported before coarser and/or heavier sized particles. Eroded fine particles will be able to maintain an equilibrium stream P concentration for longer than coarser particles with less P in reserve. However, the concentration of P in water in equilibrium with fine particles can be much less (relative to the total concentration of P in the particle) than from coarse particles, which have a lower affinity for P and will release it faster initially (Maguire et al., 1998; McDowell and Sharpley, 2002a). It has been suggested that, once P is in solution, the transition between dissolved and particulate forms during overland flow can change, mediated by sorption/desorption properties of the sediments. However, recent evidence suggests that the majority of change during flow may simply be due to deposition and dilution (McDowell and Sharpley, 2002b), until flow reaches the stream where sorption/desorption from the underlying sediment then has a significant influence (McDowell et al., 2001b). Indeed, much work has shown that sediments can remove or release P to the overlying water depending upon factors such as the affinity and saturation of sediment P sorbing sites and the kinetics of exchange (Sharpley et al., 1981; House et al., 1995).

To investigate the influence of varying concentrated P sources along a simulated hillslope length, McDowell and Sharples (2002a, b) studied P loss from soils treated with manure at
75 kg P ha$^{-1}$ at various positions upslope. Dissolved reactive P concentration was more closely related to the proportion of clay in sediment in overland flow before ($r = 0.98$) compared to after ($r = 0.56$) manure application. This was attributed to the transport of larger, low-density particles as flocs after applying manure. Surprisingly, the concentration of dissolved and PP fractions decreased with increasing flowpath length, due to dilution and deposition rather than sorption of P by surface soil during overland flow. This implied that sorption and/or desorption processes were either not occurring during the period of flow downslope, or they were complete by the time flow had reached the collection point. Using $^{33}$P, supplementary evidence by McDowell et al. (2001a) suggests that P in overland flow is in a state of quasi-equilibrium and effectively complete, leaving dilution and deposition as the major processes affecting dissolved P loss. However, TP loss (mainly as PP derived from erosion) from one soil type was significantly more than from the other soil type studied, even with manure applied. Thus, while P loss in overland flow is affected by where manure is applied relative to flowpath length, initial soil P concentration has a major effect on TP loads and should not be discounted when looking at areas of potential P loss within a catchment.

4 CONNECTING P LOSS TO P FATE IN SURFACE WATERS

Once P from the landscape reaches the stream, in-channel processes can modify the potential for agriculture to impact a downstream freshwater body. As surface water impacts are the ultimate determinant for remedial efforts, understanding in-channel processes and how these vary the impact of transported P on downstream water bodies is necessary to link changes in agricultural management with downstream water quality impacts.

4.1 In-Channel Processes

The concentration of P in streams and rivers is defined by the inputs of P from contributing sources, such as overland flow from adjacent land, stream bank erosion, and by dilution and hydraulics of flowing water. These control the availability of P to flowing waters and define where availability is expressed. Two main processes mediate in-channel changes in P concentrations: (a) sediment geochemical processes, and (b) biotic physiological processes. In their review, Reddy et al. (1999) concluded that P retention by streams is dominated by physical processes such as flow velocity, discharge, and water depth. Abiotic processes controlling P retention in streams are dominated by sediment sorption reactions. However, biological uptake can account for a large proportion of DP in streams. Phosphorus assimilation rate in streams, measured as a function of time, is related to the length of stream and flow velocity (Reddy et al., 1999).

4.1.1 Sediment Processes

Sediments within the fluvial system are either derived from overland flow or stream bank erosion. Sediments derived from stream banks will largely consist of subsoil that is relatively depleted of P. The proportion of sediment within the fluvial system will depend on the age of the channel network. For example, in areas with recent gully formation (channel rejuvenation), subsoil material will dominate (Olley et al., 1993). Furthermore, P derived from subsoil materials in these systems will be less readily available to be released to water and the subsoils will likely represent a net sink for P (McDowell and Sharpley, 2001d). As a result of the erosion of subsoils, which are often dominated by silt-sized particles, the
predominant form of P transport in these fluvial systems is PP, whereas in sandy catchments most P is transported in dissolved form (Baldwin et al., 2002).

In fluvial systems with good hydraulic mixing (such as shallow flowing streams), the availability of P in sediments can be estimated by the equilibrium P concentration at zero net sorption or desorption (EPC\textsubscript{0}). Under conditions of low flow (i.e., base flow) a state of quasi-equilibrium exists, whereby the kinetics of P release or uptake are practically complete by the time a volume of water flows by. For these situations, the EPC\textsubscript{0} will influence P concentration in solution, whereby P will desorb from sediments if the concentration of P in stream flow is less than the sediment’s EPC\textsubscript{0}, or conversely, P in stream flow will adsorb to sediments if the concentration is greater than the EPC\textsubscript{0} of the sediment (Kunishi et al., 1972). Significant input or depletion of P from the sediment can also alter the EPC\textsubscript{0}, thereby affecting stream P concentrations.

### 4.1.2 Biotic Processes

Strong downstream DRP gradients or losses with time have been recorded in artificial streams lined with inert substrates, but containing significant growths of periphytic algae (e.g., Horner et al., 1990). This indicates that algal uptake and growth can also have an important influence on stream DRP concentrations. Variability in sediment EPC\textsubscript{0} and uptake by periphyton along a stream or river channel is characteristically high, reflecting physical hydraulic processes, the management of land adjacent to the stream and the form of P occurring in the sediment. This leads to the concept of P-spiralling or the distance travelled downstream by one P molecule as it completes one cycle of uptake and transformations from dissolved to organic forms and back into flow (Newbold et al., 1981; Ellwood et al., 1983). The first definitive measurement of P-spiralling length was reported for a first-order woodland stream in Tennessee (USA) using \( ^{32}\text{PO}_4 \) as the tracer. The P spiralling length was 190 m, 165 m of which was in the water while the remainder was in fine particulate organic matter. Other North American workers found that spiralling length ranged from 23 m in November to 99 m in August when the concentration of coarse particulate material was less and P was moving largely in dissolved form (Mulholland et al., 1985). However, during storms the distance travelled by P in particulate material can increase by one or two orders of magnitude above typical distances of 1–3 m (Melack, 1995). Differences in geology can have a profound effect on P-spiralling length. Munn and Meyer (1990) found that a stream with granite bedrock had a spiralling length of 85 m, whilst in a stream with P-rich volcanic bedrock the spiralling length was 687 m.

Factors influencing the EPC\textsubscript{0} and P-spiralling are notoriously variable along a stream reach. For example, where in-stream geomorphic processes cause size sorting, or where sediments are enriched with P due to local contributions of P-rich overland flow, sediments can represent a significant source of P to stream flow, even when inputs from runoff have ceased. For instance, sediment EPC\textsubscript{0} that is greater than the concentration of P passing in stream flow will result in net P desorption until the P concentration in stream flow is the same as the sediment EPC\textsubscript{0}. The reservoir of P able to contribute to the EPC\textsubscript{0} can be approximated from measures of sorbed P in the sediment, and, in-turn, the effectiveness of sediment as a P sink can be estimated from its P sorption capacity or sorption maximum.

### 4.1.3 Other Processes Affecting P Concentrations in Stream Waters

A number of other processes may also induce changes in sediment P release to stream waters. These include a rise in stream water pH, extraction of P from dead periphyton cells and
minerlization, the hydrolysis of organic P species, and changes in sediment crystallinity and subsequent P release under anaerobic conditions (Stream Solute Workshop, 1990; Fox, 1993; Baldwin et al., 2002). For example, the potential of sediments exposed to wetting–drying cycles cause a change in Fe-oxide crystallinity making P more recalcitrant to release; the same is observed with periodically re-wetted stream bank sediments, compared to stream bed sediments (Qiu and McComb, 1995; Baldwin, 1996; McDowell and Sharpley, 2001d). Furthermore, facultative anaerobic bacteria may uptake P and store it as polyphosphates (up to 20% of their dry weight) and release it under anaerobic conditions (Davelaar, 1993; Gächter and Meyer, 1993). Other studies have shown bacteria can account for a considerable proportion of total sediment P uptake and release (30–40%, Khoshmanesh et al., 1999; McDowell and Sharpley, 2003c). However, the potential for this P to interact with the overlying water column is dependant upon the mass transfer of P from the sediment water via physical disturbance during high flow events. Some molecular diffusion is likely to occur under low flow conditions. However, the contribution of this mechanism to P release is probably small (Baldwin et al., 2002). Invariably, under conditions of rising flow a flush of P into stream flow may occur that is independent of the sediment EPC0 but is subject to the kinetics of P release.

Following the initial flush of P from P-rich pore water, under most flow conditions the speed of P release is inversely related to particle size and P sorption capacity (i.e., clay-sized particles sorb P more readily than coarser-sized particles Stone and Murdoch, 1989). Thus, hydrologic processes that control sediment particle size distribution have important implications to P delivery and fate in river systems. For instance, in a recent review of land use and sediment yield, Walling (1999) indicated that fluvial systems have considerable capacity to buffer changes in sediment delivery, whereby rivers with a low sediment delivery ratio will exhibit a large buffering capacity and vice versa.

Illustrating the effects of in-channel processes on P transport in relatively small catchments, McDowell et al. (2001b) described the mechanisms controlling P release from soil and stream sediments in relation to storm and base flow at four flumes along the channel of a 40 ha, second order agricultural catchment. Base flow DRP concentrations were greater at the catchment outflow (42 μg L⁻¹ at flume 1) than at the upper-most flume (28 μg L⁻¹ at flume 4), while the inverse occurred during storm flow (304 μg L⁻¹ at flume 4 and 128 μg L⁻¹ at flume 1) (Fig. 5). Similar trends in TP concentration were observed. However, it is questionable whether short-term pulses in DRP have much ecological impact in streams (Humphrey and Stevenson, 1992).

During storm flow, in-channel decreases in P concentration were indicative of dilution of P originating from a CSA above the upper-most flume (flume 4), where an area of high soil P intersected an area of high erosion and overland flow potential (Fig. 5). During base flow, the increase in P concentrations downstream was clearly controlled by channel sediments, such that the P sorption maximum of the upper-most flume (flume 4) sediment (532 mg kg⁻¹) was far greater than the outlet flume (flume 1) sediment (227 mg kg⁻¹) (Fig. 5). Paralleling these trends, the EPC0 of sediment at flume 1 was greater than at flume 4 (34 to 4 μg L⁻¹). Sediment EPC0 trends were highly correlated to base flow dissolved P concentrations (28 μg L⁻¹ at flume 4 and 42 μg L⁻¹ at flume 1; Fig. 5).

In a much larger catchment, McDowell et al. (2002a) examined the processes controlling sediment P release to the Winooski River, VT, the largest tributary to Lake Champlain (Fig. 6). Iron-oxide strip P (algal-available P) of the river sediments adjacent to agricultural land (3.6 mg kg⁻¹) was significantly greater (P < 0.05) than that of sediments adjacent to forested land (2.4 mg kg⁻¹). This was especially the case in the tributary adjacent to a dairy farm where overland flow was directly contributing to the enrichment of fluvial sediment (Fig. 6). Notably, impoundment (731 mg kg⁻¹) and reservoir sediments (803 mg kg⁻¹) had greater TP
concentrations than river sediments (462 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). This was attributed to more fines (<63 \(\mu\)m) in impoundments and reservoirs than in river sediments. Furthermore, turbulence at the confluence of two tributaries resulted in the shift of the particle size towards coarser particles. This also increased the release rate of these sediments and their sensitivity to incoming P sources (Fig. 6). Consequently, impoundment and reservoir sediments had lower abilities to release P to solution in the short-term, thereby acting as sinks for sediments rich in P, provided the system was maintained in an aerobic state. However, if sediments, like soils, become anaerobic through oxygen depletion and stratification, P release increases via iron and sulphate reducing bacteria (Boström et al., 1988; Lovley et al., 1991).

In general, the impoundment of rivers causes a decrease in the downstream transport of sediment and P by increasing retention within the impoundment. As of 1971, about 4000 km\(^3\) of water was impounded world-wide by dams, with more rivers being modified as pressures increase on water resources for agricultural, urban and industrial uses (Petts, 1984). On average, sediment yield is decreased by three quarters by impoundments, and nutrient enrichment of these waters can commonly occur due to the retention and release
of P from P-rich fines. Downstream, periodic discharge can increase the sediment and P load via stream-bank erosion and release light particulate material and P from biological production of organic matter. In contrast, the much greater flushing rates of natural ecosystems (rivers and lakes) enables a much greater potential for sediment and P distribution to equilibrate over the entire stream length (Higgins and Kim, 1981). The influence of flushing rates and P inputs to rivers and lakes will be discussed in the following section.

The results of the research on the Winooski River catchment demonstrate that there is a strong influence of fluvial hydraulics on the properties of sediment within river systems. The input and delivery of fine sediment enriched with P was influenced by adjacent land use. The fluvial sediment, particularly at the outflow of the river into Lake Champlain, represents a P storage pool, which has a long-term potential to release a large amount of P to overlying waters. In the short-term, however, river flow and the physical properties of the sediments will influence the amount of sediment P leaving the catchment. Thus, in connecting sediment P loss from the landscape to channel processes, variability in flow, local sources of P, and sediment properties must be taken into account, particularly near the point of impact. Because of these complexities, channel processes and changes in P forms and
loads are not currently simulated in many models that estimate P loss from catchments (Hanrahan et al., 2001).

4.2 The Impact of P Transport to Streams and Rivers

The extent to which P transport to streams and rivers stimulates the productivity and growth of benthic algae (commonly called periphyton), phytoplankton, or macrophytes depends on whether productivity is limited by P (productivity in some streams is limited by N; e.g., Chessman et al., 1992; Francoeur et al., 1999), or other limiters such as light, temperature, flood disturbances and grazing invertebrates (Biggs, 1996). Periphyton responses to increased P load are usually evident as blooms of filamentous algae (e.g., Cladophora, also known as ‘blanket weed’) in streams that have prolonged periods of stable flow, are unshaded, and have a gravel/cobble bed. In such situations, periphyton can respond to even minute increases in P concentrations because the laminar boundary layer surrounding the cells and within the mat is eroded by moving water. This means that boundary layer diffusion limitation is minimized and the rate limiting step is biochemically mediated uptake kinetics. Not withstanding this, in natural streams broad positive correlations between P concentrations (as DRP and TP) and periphyton biomass are still observed (e.g., Biggs and Close, 1989; Biggs, 1995; Dodds et al., 1997; Biggs, 2000a). This has also been supported by a number of investigations in experimental streams (Bothwell, 1989). Based on his experiments with variable DP loading, Bothwell (1989) suggested that this anomaly between cellular growth rate limitation at extremely low concentrations of P and high biomass only occurring at high P concentrations, was due to nutrient limitation at two different scales. Bothwell suggested that there was a shift from cellular controlled growth rate limitation of mats to community controlled growth rate as mats developed:

- Growth of cells at the surface of the mat are unlikely to be P-limited at sub-parts per billion DP concentrations, but as the thickness of the mat develops, diffusion of P molecules to the base of the mat is restricted (either through restricted water movement within the mat or due to uptake by cells nearer the upper surface). This results in P-starvation of the underlying layers and eventual decay leading to spontaneous sloughing of mats.
- With higher P concentrations in the overlying water, rates of molecular diffusion to the underlying layers increase, resulting in the accumulation of much higher biomass before sloughing occurs (see Borchardt, 1996, for a more detailed explanation) and the maintenance of high biomass for much longer periods in the stream (Biggs, 2000a).

Changes in the type of periphyton in shallow streams and phytoplankton in deep, slow flowing rivers also occur as P loading increases. These changes are generally toward more undesirable types of algae. For example, communities in oligotrophic streams (i.e. streams with low nutrient loadings) tend to be dominated by diatoms and filamentous algae, whereas in eutrophic streams (i.e. streams with high nutrient loadings) communities tend to be dominated by filamentous green algae capable of forming very long filaments (Chétalet et al., 1999; Biggs, 2000a).

Shifts in the N:P ratio can also have important effects on the community composition of periphyton in shallow streams. For example, Biggs and Smith (2002) reported that communities with a N:P ratio of less than 10 (i.e. low in N relative to P) had a very high proportion of nitrogen fixing Cyanobacteria in the community, whereas these organisms were rare in streams with a high N:P ratio (i.e. low P relative to N). Also, the diversity of algae was much greater at low N:P ratios, where nitrogen-fixing taxa were more abundant (Biggs and Smith, 2002). In slower flowing oligotrophic rivers, phytoplankton tends to be dominated
by a low biomass of diatoms, whereas these communities are often replaced by a high biomass of Cyanobacteria in eutrophic rivers.

Macrophyte responses to increased P loads are more difficult to predict. In the first instance, macrophytes need stable flows and sunlight to proliferate (Riis and Biggs, in press). However, whether they respond to increases in P varies depending on free-stream water vs. sediment pore water P concentrations and the ability of the particular macrophyte species to utilize root vs. leaf uptake paths. For example, Chambers et al. (1989) found that biomass, shoot density and tissue nutrient concentrations of the dominant species \((\text{Potamogeton crispus})\) in a nutrient rich river were largely determined by sediment and not water P availability. Biggs and Malthus (1982) reported an extremely high biomass for \((\text{Lagarosiphon major})\) from a flowing water section of a hydro-power impoundment in New Zealand where water P concentrations were \(<5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}\), but the plants were rooted in fine schist sediments with a high natural P content. Indeed, Barko et al. (1991) have concluded that in most streams and rivers root uptake is the main pathway for P uptake. This indicates that controlling surface water P loads may only be effective in preventing excessive growth of macrophytes if there is no geological source of P in the sediment or the sediment pools are likely to become depleted following a persistent decrease in incoming soluble or PP.

Problems associated with excess periphyton and macrophyte biomass accumulation in streams become prominent during summer low flows and tend to be sporadic. Some common stream values that may be compromised by such growths include contact recreation, degradation of water quality (reductions in dissolved oxygen and increases in pH), clogging of water intake structures, creating taste and odour problems, fouling/suffocation of fish spawning and rearing sites and degradation of benthic biodiversity (Biggs, 2000b). The effects on water quality and ecosystem degradation are only moderately well quantified, and a number of cause–effect assumptions need careful testing. Shifts in benthic community structure are clearly apparent across a range of regimes with streams having low P-loads and associated oligotrophic conditions of low periphyton production (peak chlorophyll \(a\) biomass \(<50\ \text{mg m}^{-2}\)) usually being dominated by a diverse, healthy invertebrate fauna, whereas streams having high P-loads and eutrophic conditions (peak chlorophyll \(a\) biomass \(<200\ \text{mg m}^{-2}\)) are often dominated by snails, worms and midges which are indicative of an unhealthy ecosystem (Biggs, 2000b). These latter communities have only limited value as food for many fish species such as brown trout \((\text{Salmo trutta})\).

One of the critical issues with understanding and modelling the effects of increased P loadings on stream ecosystems is how we can best measure instream concentrations of P in an ecologically meaningful way. The traditional approach in lakes has been to use TP because of the rapid uptake and storage of P by phytoplankton followed by partial re-mineralization as phytoplankton decay (Welch, 1992; Chapra, 1997). However, local deposition and decay of periphyton in streams may only occur in very slow flowing streams and pools and there can be high flow events to flush the deposited organic matter from the streams (Table I). The use of mat P concentrations \((i.e.\ \text{TP of the periphyton mat normalized to mat biomass}; \ e.g.,\ Biggs, 1995)\) is appealing because of the potentially close relationship between concentrations and algal growth rates \((e.g.,\ Auer and Canale, 1982)\). However, there are difficulties in relating these back to nutrient supply regimes due to the potential problem of bias caused by non-phototrophic sediments, and analyses are expensive (Table I).

The variance explained by models based on dissolved, particulate and mat nutrient concentrations varies widely. Models of dissolved and org-P concentrations explain the most variance in periphyton biomass, particularly when combined with time available for communities to grow between bed cleansing floods (Biggs, 2000a). However, while a useful variable in ecological studies, there are still many questions over the use of mat nutrient concentrations for eutrophication management, as noted above, and much further research is
needed before the link between dissolved nutrient supply rates and mat nutrient concentrations can be quantified. It would appear for the present that the dissolved nutrient-biomass models for maximum biomass might be the most useful tools for managing the eutrophication of temperate gravel/cobble bed streams (Biggs, 2000a). In these models, the DRP status of the streams is determined following monthly monitoring for at least a year so that short-term variability associated with uptake processes and hydrological events can be averaged.

4.3 The Impact of P Transport to Lakes and Reservoirs

The usual response of a lake to a large sudden input of P is also a rapid increase in algal productivity (Vollenweider, 1968; Correl, 1998). However, unless P inputs are sustained in a continuous or pulsed manner, productivity will tail-off after a few weeks. In contrast, to restore an eutrophic lake requires a decrease of P inputs to levels less than P removal within the lake.

The rate at which algal productivity increases or decreases within a lake, differs greatly, with variations in geographic location, climate, water residence times, and surface area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DRP</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong>: A direct measure of the bio-available form of P and therefore mechanistically sound. Point source effluent effects can be assessed directly. Temporal variability moderate–low relative to other nutrients (e.g., CV ~ 20–110% for DRP; Biggs and Close, 1989; Biggs, 1995). Analyses are relatively quick and cheap.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong>: Single measurements in time are a poor indicator of P supply regime because of effects of algal uptake and geochemical transformations in the stream. Contribution of subsurface springs/seeps is difficult to account for. About a year of monthly measurements is best to obtain a reliable estimate of mean P supply concentrations (fewer samples may be possible where flow regimes are relatively stable). Phosphorus bound to organic matter might become available somewhere downstream and therefore the projected DRP supply could underestimate the actual supply. Low levels of detection required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total P</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong>: Incorporates all forms of P thus giving a measure of the overall, potential, nutrient supply. Supply from subsurface inflows/groundwater is incorporated in measure. Extensive data is available on landuse – TP loadings. A widely used variable in lake eutrophication management might be useful for comparing lentic vs. lotic enrichment processes (e.g., Dodds et al., 1998).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong>: Correlated with chlorophyll in water column. Thus, a proportion of TP in streams is probably derived from suspended benthic algae, which means that there might be some circular reasoning in its application. Therefore the approach requires the following assumptions: the particulates/algae will eventually deposit in downstream reaches; a proportion of P in these particulates will become available to algae; and the proportion of bio-available P will be similar among streams, and over time, regardless of the type of particulates (e.g., organic vs. inorganic). Analyses require a digestion step which makes processing more expensive. Frequent monitoring is required to get good estimates of mean TP concentrations (e.g., weekly for a year) because of moderate–high temporal variability (e.g., CV ~ 30–500% for TP; Biggs and Close, 1989).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mat P</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong>: A direct measure of the P status of the periphyton and can be related to specific growth rates through mechanistic models such as the Droop model (e.g., Auer and Canale, 1982). Integrates the history of P supply, including mineralized nutrients from deposited organics and subsurface supply from seeps and groundwater.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong>: Difficult to relate back to supply concentrations of dissolved or TP (therefore, difficult to use as a basis for managing nutrient loads). Results likely to be biased to varying degrees by the amount and type of silt deposited in the mat. The influence of silts will increase as the biomass:silt ratio decreases. Analysis requires a digestion step and a measurement of organic biomass which increases costs. Moderate temporal variability moderate–high sampling frequency is required (CV of mat %P commonly ~ 90–200%; Biggs, 1995).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Biggs, 2000a.
and depth of water body. In addition, P loading of water bodies is further influenced by the ratio of drainage basin area to lake area. In general, inputs of P increase with the proportion of the surrounding land to water ratio. For example, the ratio of catchment drainage area and Bay water volume in the Chesapeake Bay (2410 km$^2$ km$^{-3}$) is nearly an order of magnitude greater than any other lake or bay in the world (next is the Gulf of Finland at 380 km$^2$ km$^{-3}$). Hence, the potential for deleterious effects via P inputs to the Chesapeake Bay is very high.

By decreasing P loads to levels that exist in lakes with good water quality, the recovery of lakes with poor water quality and mean size and water flushing time can take between 2 and 10 years (Wetzel, 2001). In general, the residence time, or the inverse, flow-through rate, of P is related to sediment removal and phytoplanktonic production (Vollenweider, 1990). Provided the duration of P loading is short, lakes that were oligotrophic will respond slowly to increases in P loads and decrease rapidly back to oligotrophic conditions if loadings are decreased. However, if sustained P loads have sediments enriched with P, and the lake has been eutrophic for some time, it will take much longer to recover oligotrophic status.

In lakes and reservoirs with anoxic hypolimnia, the magnitude of internal P loading can be high as P is solubilized under reducing conditions. The magnitude of P release can be estimated from the mean rate of P release from the sediments, the surface area of anoxic sediments and the period of anoxia. Shallow lakes with active sediment deposition and a high internal loading of P can be especially susceptible and take a long time to recover oligotrophic status. Coupled with this, recent evidence has shown that the potential for P input derived from internal loading can be greater from P-enriched stream bank sediments transported to the lake during a storm event compared to bed load typically with less P (McDowell and Sharpley, 2001d).

It is fortunate that in most lakes the internal contribution of P from sediments is a small proportion of the total inputs. Hence, restriction of P loads into a lake can be an effective way of decreasing aquatic biomass production. Indeed, recent evidence has shown that decreasing P fertilizer sales and more efficient soil management over the past 20 years has decreased P losses into the north-western Ohio River, which drains into Lake Erie (Calhoun et al., 2002).

Anthropogenic inputs of P are significantly influenced by the alteration of flow regimes such as those found in artificial reservoirs compared to natural lakes. Stras Kraba (1996) noted that the P retention capacity (i.e. the proportion of P load not lost from the water body via its outflow) is correlated to water retention time, defined as the time (days) taken to fill the water body from empty (Fig. 7). Whereas, water retention times of lakes are typically between 1 and 7 years, reservoirs are highly variable, but commonly <100 days. Consequently, over long periods there is little difference between P retention capacities. However, a distinct difference is evident for times of less than 300 days and especially for retention times <100 days. This is related to the quicker sedimentation of PP and removal of outflow water from deep within the stratified water of reservoirs vs. the predominantly slower sedimentation of particles and the removal of surface outflows in lakes. Thus, surface water rich in P in lakes is less likely to be retained than in reservoirs (Fig. 7).

In addition to P inputs and other nutrients such as N, the interaction of other factors can influence the effect of P inputs. Models integrating these effects are numerous and for a discussion reviews such as those by Cloern (2001) should be consulted. Briefly, the factors influencing P impact the most, excluding P sources such as soil, sediment, fertilizers and manures already discussed, are (Newton and Jarrell, 1999):

- **Water residence time.** A short residence time (e.g., <7 days) will not allow phytoplankton to increase before being swept away.
Temperature. Algae grow faster at higher temperatures, hence the proliferation of aquatic plants in summer, especially blue-green algae which are tolerant of higher temperatures than other algae. Decreasing temperature also increases dissolved oxygen concentrations and fish survival. Increases in temperature arise from either direct sunlight or inputs of warm water (e.g., irrigation water), and measures such as riparian planting for shade can decrease temperature in narrow streams.

Light. Obviously light is required for plant growth, provided sufficient nutrients and warm temperatures are available. Riparian vegetation, suspended sediment and dissolved (e.g., tannins) or particulate organics decrease light penetration and availability.

Depth. This can affect sensitivity to nutrient inputs by increasing the distance required for light to travel to the bottom of the water body, thereby increasing the ability of particles in the water to scatter, reflect and absorb light. The point at which light is too low to support plant growth is termed the compensation depth. In shallow waters (e.g., <2 m depth), decreasing suspended sediment inputs will increase light transmission and, provided the bottom sediments are sufficiently enriched with P, then periphyton and macrophytes may grow even though overlying water is poor in P.

Stratification. Caused by differences in density in response to temperature (water is densest at 4 °C) which results in layers of water within deep water bodies that do not mix. In summer, warm water layers (epilimnion) float on top of denser cold layers (hypolimnion), while the reverse may happen in winter whereby cold water near 4 °C is at the bottom but even colder water lies on top. This thermal stratification is broken down either by wind turbulence or by temperature changes causing surface layers to cool or warm compared to lower layers thus creating a turnover of water layers. Because the hypolimnion is isolated from the atmosphere, dissolved oxygen can be depleted by microbes acting on organic matter causing anoxic conditions and P to be released from the sediment. When turnover occurs, P becomes available to the surface water and if the right conditions occur then rapid algal growth will result. Conversely, reduced Fe can become oxidized and sequester P.
Ground water inputs. Although inputs of P from ground water are low, generally <0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Wetzel, 2001), inputs of 0.1 mg L\(^{-1}\) or above can occur due to geology or sometimes management (Breeuwsma and Silva, 1992; Newton and Jarrell, 1999). Catchment groundwater enters the stream system via the hyporheic zone, an area where hydrologic and biogeochemical processes are active and therefore are likely to impact on the quality of receiving waters. Solute transport through this zone has been found to be orders of magnitude slower than movement in stream channels (m day\(^{-1}\) vs. m min\(^{-1}\); Triska et al., 1993), increasing residence times and biogeochemical P transformation.

5 CONNECTING MANAGEMENT OF P LOSS WITH SURFACE WATER QUALITY

5.1 Management to Decrease P Loss from the Landscape

Effective nutrient management ultimately aims to balance farm P inputs with off-takes in crop or animal products, while efficient mitigation of P loss from the landscape involves placing P away from CSAs likely to lose much P. There are numerous options available to mitigate the potential for P loss. Although most are orientated at decreasing the P available to flow, some can also mitigate P if in a hydrologically active area.

Cultivation immediately after application can decrease P losses if erosion is minimized. Periodic tillage of the soil may also decrease P loss by redistributing high-P topsoil throughout the root zone and disrupting subsurface P loss pathways (i.e. macropores; Djodjic et al., 2002). Applications of manure or fertilizer during drier periods to avoid precipitation or snowmelt will further decrease the potential for P loss in overland and subsurface flow by increasing the contact time (and uptake) with soil and crop.

Phosphorus inputs to receiving waters from point sources such as dairy shed wastewaters are often controlled by treating wastewaters in a two-staged waste stabilization pond (WSP) system before applying to agricultural land or discharged into receiving waters. Such WSP systems, which consists of an anaerobic pond and then an aerobic pond, normally removes <40–65% of the TP in dairy wastewaters (Nguyen and Davies-Colley, 1998). To enhance P removal, wetlands (Cooke et al., 1992; Tanner et al., 1995; 1998) and some features of the advanced integrated wastewater pond systems (Green et al., 1995) such as high rate algal ponds (HRAP), maturation ponds and algae settling ponds are often incorporated into the WSP system. The high pH (9–11) in HRAP, resulting from algal photosynthesis (Nurdogan and Oswald, 1995; Green et al., 1996) enhances P removal via chemical precipitation with calcium in pond waters.

The presence of cover crops and residues can help decrease P loss by decreasing the kinetic energy of raindrop impact and thus erosion and P in overland flow. Equally, anything that keeps surface roughness high or intercepts overland flow, which encourages infiltration and sediment retention, can be effective. Such measures include riparian zones, buffer strips, terracing, cover crops, contour tillage and impoundments or small reservoirs. However, these measures are better at stopping particulate than DP transport. Gitau et al. (2001) recently summarized the range in efficiencies for best management practices to mitigate P loss (Fig. 8).

Other remedial measures include manure and soil treatment and amendment to decrease P solubility and potential release to overland flow; feeding animals no more P than they actually need; use of soil testing to guide future P application (particularly as manure); and redistribution of manure within and among farms (Sharpley, 2000). Further decreases can be achieved by identifying CSAs and targeting conservation measures such as adding high P sorbing materials like coal combustion by-products (Stout et al., 2000).
Due to the time and expense involved in field assessment of management impacts on P loss, models often represent a more efficient and feasible means of evaluating management alternatives. Indeed, numerous process-based models have been developed to simulate the fate of P in soil and its transport to surface waters (e.g., AGNPS, Young et al., 1989; EPIC, Sharpley and Williams, 1990). In their most comprehensive form, such models integrate information over a large scale, helping to define catchment-scale processes relevant to P transport, highlighting appropriate best management practices (BMPs) and identifying CSAs where BMPs are most likely to affect catchment-scale P losses. Perhaps one of the simplest models is the ‘P index’, which utilizes data on a field by field basis to determine a degree of risk of P loss and an appropriate mitigation strategy. The P index has or is being used in many
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**FIGURE 8** Range in effectiveness of various BMPs in reducing dissolved and TP loss in overland flow based on published information (adapted from Gitau et al., 2001; Sharpley et al., 2002). Number of studies is in parenthesis. The solid line through each box represents the median value of effectiveness.
counties for mitigating P at the source due to its ease or use, transparency and good uptake by the end-user. For a comprehensive review on modeling P loss, including the ‘P index’, the reader is directed to Sharpley et al. (2002).

5.2 Edge of Field Management

5.2.1 Wetlands

In many natural ecosystems much P is prevented from reaching downstream areas by wetlands. However, P removal by wetlands generally declines after a period of years or decades depending on loading rates, hydraulic retention time, wastewater characteristics, wetland substratum and wetland areas (Reddy et al., 1995; Fennessy and Cronk, 1997). The P removal capacity of wetlands depends on the physical–chemical–microbiological processes that influence organic and inorganic P uptake in wetland sediments. These include, sorption–precipitation of DRP by wetland substrate (e.g., soil, gravel, minerals and peat), sedimentation–deposition of PP, and P assimilation by microbial and plant biomass (Reddy et al., 1999). Microbial P represents a dynamic P pool of limited size while plant P, unless removed by harvesting, is released back to wetlands via decomposition of plant litter (Johnston, 1991). Thus, the most important P removal processes are sorption and sedimentation (Cooke et al., 1992; Reddy et al., 1995; Nguyen, 2000a). Wetland redox potential can influence P dynamics through interaction with Fe compounds; P is likely to be released under anaerobic conditions via the reduction of crystalline ferric (Fe$_{3}^{3+}$) P complexes. However, anaerobic conditions can also enhance P sorption since highly-dispersed gel-like Fe$_{2}^{2+}$ oxides or hydroxides have a greater surface area for P sorption than crystalline Fe$_{3}^{3+}$ forms (Patrick and Khalid, 1974; Nguyen et al., 1997).

In addition to natural wetlands, constructed wetlands can be built with soil, gravel, zeolite, limestone and slag (Hylander and Siman, 2001). A problem for constructed wetlands that treat highly organic wastewaters (e.g., dairy wastewaters) is the clogging of pore space by accumulated refractory (humic acid, fulvic acid and humin) organic solids (Nguyen, 2000b). Tanner et al. (1998) reported a substantial decline in P removal efficiency in constructed wetlands after 3 years of treating dairy wastewater, caused by the accumulation of organic solids and subsequent wetland short-circuiting.

5.2.2 Buffer Strips – Riparian Areas

Phosphorus loss from the landscape can be decreased by establishing edge-of-field riparian buffer strips and riparian wetlands to intercept water runoff from agricultural lands (Flenniken et al., 2001). In a study by Smith (1989), riparian pasture retirement effects on P in overland flow from two steep hillslopes were examined over 22 months by comparing DRP concentrations in overland flow at retired and grazed riparian sites. The event flow-weighted PP and DRP loads were 80% and 55% less, respectively, at the retired site, indicating the effectiveness of riparian buffer strips in decreasing P loss via overland flow to waterways in the short term. This effectiveness depends on the width of buffer strips, vegetation density, soil characteristics (e.g., water infiltration rate and P sorption capacity), vegetation type, placement within the landscape and slope (Fennessy and Cronk, 1997). Efficiency of P retention increases as riparian buffers become wider (Osborne and Kovacic, 1993; Fennessy and Cronk, 1997). Quinn et al. (1993) estimated a dense grass buffer strip of 10–20 m wide (5–10% hillslope length) was sufficient to remove 60–75% of P from overland flow in areas of medium (15–20°) slope and low (4 mm hr$^{-1}$) drainage rate.
Primarily, riparian areas work by promoting sedimentation and improving soil structure and infiltration (Lyons et al., 2000). Grassy riparian vegetation is better than woody riparian vegetation in decreasing P loss by decreasing the potential for flow in channels and enhancing entrapment in sheet flow (Lyons et al., 2000). Sedimentation of PP depends on soil particle size. Sand or silt-sized particles are readily deposited within riparian zones while P bound to clay particles are not (Uusi-Kampala et al., 1997). Retention of DP is less than PP and decreases with time as P sorption sites become occupied (Reddy et al., 1995; Uusi-Kamppa et al., 1997). Cooper et al. (1995) noted a riparian pasture that had been set-aside for 12 years acted as a source rather than a sink of DRP to receiving waters. Studies conducted by others (Dillaha et al., 1989; Osbourne and Kovacic, 1993) have also demonstrated that buffer strips may act as a source of DRP while still acting as a sink for TP.

Edge of field riparian management not only impacts on overland flow P removal but also has a strong influence on stream nutrient spiralling (Cooper et al., 1987; Hearne and Howard-Williams, 1988). Fencing off pastures from grazing allows palatable aquatic macrophytes to flourish and decreases nutrient spiralling length, whereas riparian afforestation can shade out periphyton and macrophytes thus increasing spiral length. The placement of riparian afforestation within a catchment is therefore expected to have a major influence on downstream surface water quality. Quinn et al. (1993) reported that forested (Pinus radiata) riparian areas at the headwaters of grassland dominated catchments in New Zealand adversely influenced downstream P water quality (TP concentrations from grazed and riparian forested influenced catchments of 0.488 and 1.195 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively). This was attributed to a lack of ground cover within the riparian zone. Quinn et al. (1993) suggest that tree planting should be sufficiently sparse to allow development of vegetative ground cover. Fennessy and Cronk (1997) concluded that buffer strips should be located along headwater reaches where most catchment water originates. Storing water high in the catchment also decreased downstream erosion. In addition, streams and riparian areas in headwaters tend to be narrower than downstream channels, taking less land out of production while maximizing nutrient retention/removal compared to the targeting of larger channels downstream (Fennessy and Cronk, 1997).

5.2.3 Drainage Ditches and Networks

Drainage networks connecting open ditches and mole and tile drains act as a conduit of nutrients to streams bypassing riparian buffers. To minimize this, constructed wetlands could be located at the end of tile and mole drains and vegetation management implemented in open ditches (Raisin and Mitchell, 1995). Phosphorus in drainage ditch sediments is released to overlying water depending on the redox potential at the sediment–water interface, water depth, sediment EPC\(_0\), sediment P status and overlying P concentration (Sallade and Sims, 1997; Young and Ross, 2001).

Periodic drain clearance (c. 5 years) removes vegetation and sediments to improve water flow. This practice should be targeted to drains where sediment is P saturated (if known). In conjunction, farm practices such as P fertilizer application, grazing intensity and land application of manures and effluent should be carefully managed to minimize P loss to these ditches (Sims et al., 1998). To counter this P loss, studies in Maryland, Illinois and Iowa indicate that wetlands could remove up to 43% of P from drainage waters, depending on the water retention time within wetlands. This removal will be governed by the ratio of wetland size to the contributing drainage area (Woltemade, 2000). Tanner et al. (2002) showed that during the first 3 months, constructed wetlands installed at the end of subsurface drains (wetland surface area c. 1–2% of the drained catchment area) removed c. 80% of DRP.
5.3 Integrating and Managing P Loss from the Landscape with Impacts in Rivers and Lakes

Successful management of surface water quality requires knowledge of how the system responds to increases or decreases in P loads. Some management strategies that decrease P loads may not be suitable for some water bodies. Indeed, decreasing P loads may have no effect at all and simply represent a waste of time, effort and resources. In many areas it may not be possible or practical to attain P loadings low enough to prevent periphyton blooms because of, for example, natural P from nutrient rich rocks (e.g., Biggs, 1995). In such situations management may better focus on controlling the frequency or duration of such bloom events rather than just focusing on maximum biomass. For some in-stream environmental values such as aesthetics, it might be that the duration of blooms is as important, or even more important, than the specific levels of biomass (Biggs, 2000a). Critical P concentrations required to prevent biomass criteria from being exceeded need to link to the expected duration of low flow events. Streams and rivers which flood regularly, causing washout of the periphyton mats, can assimilate higher loads of P before developing problem blooms than waterways that have few flood disturbances and long periods available for growth (Biggs, 2000b).

To maximize environmental benefits, landscape P management needs to be connected to likely behavior and effect on surface water quality in various systems from small streams to large lakes. Newton and Jarrell (1999) devised a system of surface water classification and sensitivity in relation to increases and decreases in P loads. Figure 9 and Table II outline the classification and management of specific surface water bodies. Where mitigation of P loads is required, best management practices such as those outlined above and summarized in Figure 8 can be implemented. By targeting the right BMP to CSAs and surface water bodies within a catchment, much of the P loss can be mitigated thus maximizing the environmental aims whilst minimizing agronomic impact.

In addition to the classification system of Newton and Jarrell (1999), Biggs (2000a) developed an empirical model to predict the state of stream eutrophication as a function of both DRP concentrations and frequency of bed-cleansing flood events (Fig. 10). This model shows that the extent of periphyton biomass development is a result of the interaction between nutrient concentrations and time available for biomass accrual whereby it is possible to have high P concentrations without eutrophic conditions if flood events are frequent, resulting in short accrual periods. However, if there are long periods between flood events (e.g., >100 days), then eutrophic conditions can develop with only low P concentrations (e.g., <0.5 μg L⁻¹). Similar models (often called ‘phosphorus loading’ or ‘Vollenweider’ models) have been developed for phytoplankton biomass in lakes (see Chapra, 1997).

Due to variability in soil types and management, generalizations across scale are rarely applicable. As a consequence, the best scale for management should be that which effects the greatest mitigation of P loss with the best result. Due to the non-specific nature of farm boundaries in relation to catchment boundaries, management is best achieved at the scale where most benefit is evident, the catchment scale. This requires knowing where within the catchment most P loss is occurring (i.e. CSAs) and what the right suite of BMPs is to mitigate P loss. On the other hand, implementation may be best achieved at a farm scale, where there is more control over land use changes and more importantly, proper continued maintenance. Incentives can be used to encourage BMP adoption by farmers either passively via education, explaining the savings to be made by decreasing fertilizer inputs if soil P is
above the agronomic optimum or actively via policy, legislation or financial aid (Rhodes et al., 2002; McDowell et al., 2003). One such tool that utilizes both scales to obtain best results is the P index (McDowell et al., 2002b; Sharpley et al., 2002). Already used in several countries, variations on the P index are being or under consideration for incorporation into strategies to address P loss. For example, in the USA the use of the P index is being promoted
### TABLE II Water Body Description, Functioning, Sensitivity to Rising (↑) and Falling (↓) P Loads and Possible Management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Present functioning</th>
<th>↑</th>
<th>↓</th>
<th>Possible management scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Heterotrophic small stream</td>
<td>Typically light limited. May be sensitive to increase in SS</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Maintain riparian vegetation, shading and access to flood plain to prevent siltation of riparian areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Phytoplankton-dominant small</td>
<td>Not light limited, but P limited</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Decrease P inputs and monitor TP concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Macrophyte-dominant small</td>
<td>Controlled by vascular plants and macrophytes, which can get sufficient P from sediment</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Decreasing SS load most important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Periphyton-dominant small</td>
<td>Responds quickly to changes in P load as little sediment is present</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>As periphyton obtain P from water column, decrease essential Groundwater P may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Heterotrophic large stream</td>
<td>Characterized by high SS, hence, light limited. Little potential for eutrophication</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Possibly decreasing SS load, but trophic state may shift to eutrophic once light limitation is removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Periphyton-dominant large</td>
<td>High flow prevents macrophytes from establishing</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Decreasing P concentrations in the water column. Groundwater P input may be important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Macrophyte-dominant large</td>
<td>Residence time insufficient for phytoplankton growth. Mud acts as good substrate for macrophytes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Efficient P uptake from water or mud means that only decrease of both will prevent growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Periphyton-dominant large</td>
<td>Residence time insufficient for phytoplankton growth but clear enough for periphyton</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Susceptible to sustained P inputs. Sediment retention of P is low so should respond quickly to P decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Large stream-shifts between</td>
<td>Phytoplankton may shade out macrophytes, but temperature can be a critical factor</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Macrophyte dominance may benefit fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Large stream-shifts between</td>
<td>Sufficient residence time for phytoplankton which could shade out periphyton</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>If little soft sediment, then low internal supply likely and decrease in P causes quick response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Oligotrophic or mesotrophic</td>
<td>Stratification present, but DO still present in hypolimnion. Oxic conditions bind P to sediment</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>Medium–High</td>
<td>Increase in P load and algal production, depletes DO and increasing P from sediment causes eutrophication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Present functioning</th>
<th>↑</th>
<th>↓</th>
<th>Possible management scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Estuary</td>
<td>Sufficient P is supplied from marine water</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Stratified deep lake –</td>
<td>P build up in anoxic hypolimnion which is commonly transferred to epilimnion by wind turbulence</td>
<td>Medium–High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Difficult to restore, requires chemical treatment (e.g., Cu addition) or hypolimnetic aeration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypolimnetic P transfer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Stratified deep lake</td>
<td>Less hypolimnetic P transfer than above. External loads important. P released to algae at turnover</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>P loads need to decrease to prevent further eutrophication, but dependent upon loading history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Polymictic lake</td>
<td>Short-term stratification means many mixing events move P to epilimnion, but depends on trophic state</td>
<td>High–Low</td>
<td>High–Low</td>
<td>Sensitive if oligotrophic and insensitive if eutrophic determines effort that should go into P management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Shallow lake – macrophyte</td>
<td>Macrophytes keep SS and P low in water column</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Macrophyte removal hard without introducing phytoplankton and increasing SS. Leave as is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dominant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Shallow lake – few macrophytes</td>
<td>SS and P higher than above, mean loadings irrelevant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Ascertain internal–external loads for management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Shallow lake with short residence time, high turbidity</td>
<td>Residence time insufficient to allow phytoplankton to grow. Light limited</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Sediment and P removal may help but require identification of CSAs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Newton and Jarrell (1999).
on a state by state basis, aided by federal agencies (Sharpley et al., 2003). In New Zealand, the P index is now being used commercially as part of a nutrient management package for farmers (Hart et al., 2002). Such approaches bode well for increasing awareness of the P loss problem and towards development of methods for minimizing agricultural impacts.

Once the need for decreasing P loss on a catchment scale has been established, management then needs to focus on areas largely on the field scale to decrease P loss. Since the early 1980s, several studies have investigated the long-term (7–10 yr) effectiveness of BMPs to reduce P export from agricultural catchments. These studies quantified nutrient loss prior to, and after, BMP implementation or attempted to use untreated catchments as controls. Overall, these studies showed that BMPs decreased P export from a variety of catchments in several areas of the USA (Goldstein and Ritter, 1993; Richards and Baker, 1993; Bottcher and Tremwell, 1995). However, it is evident that several factors are critical to effective BMP implementation. These factors include targeting catchments that respond most effectively to BMPs, identifying CSAs, and accounting for both catchment and estuary response time and their capacity to buffer added P (Sharpley and Rekolainen, 1997).

The importance of targeting BMPs within a catchment or basin is shown by several studies across the US. In the Chesapeake Bay catchment, a coordinated and intensive program of BMP adoption was implemented in the mid-1990s to decrease nutrient inputs to the Bay through a combination of education, cost-share, and technical assistance (Boesch et al., 2001). Those BMPs used included stream bank protection, manure storage, cover crops, and decreased tillage with an emphasis on decreasing sediment (and associated P) in overland flow. Nutrient management plans with field-by-field nutrient recommendations were developed for about one quarter of the agricultural land by 1997. Despite these efforts, nonpoint source P load estimates have decreased by only 9% after 10 years, compared to 58% of P from point sources (Boesch et al., 2001).

In the Little Washita River catchment (54,000 ha) in central Oklahoma, studies also showed little change in P export following BMP implementation (Sharpley and Smith, 1994) (Fig. 11). Nutrient export from two subcatchments (2 and 5 ha) were measured
from 1980 to 1994, while BMPs were installed on about 50% of the main catchment. Practices included construction of flood control impoundments, eroding gully treatment, and conservation tillage. Following conversion of conventional-till (moldboard and chisel plough) to no-till wheat in 1983, nitrogen export was decreased 14.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (3-fold) and P loss 2.9 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (10-fold; Fig. 9; Sharpley and Smith, 1994). One year later, eroding gullies were shaped and an impoundment constructed in the other subcatchment, and N and P loss decreased dramatically (5- and 13-fold, respectively; Sharpley et al., 1996). However, there was no effect of BMP implementation on P concentration in flow from the main Little Washita River catchment (Fig. 11). Thus, a lack of effective targeting of BMPs and control of major sources of P export in the Little Washita River catchment did not contribute to any consistent decrease in catchment export of P.

These examples clearly demonstrate that careful targeting of BMPs at an appropriate level of intensity over sufficient time is required to effectively decrease P export from catchments. However, in order for such BMPs to be successfully implemented on-farm, they must also be economic. At present, there is a lack of knowledge on their economic effectiveness, not only on agronomic performance, but also on environmental effectiveness. To correct this imbalance, work should be directed at a life cycle analysis of whole ecosystems from plot to farm to catchment scale. In other words, we need to connect the environmental economics from the farm to the site of end impact (stream or lake).

6 CONCLUSIONS

Loss of P from agricultural landscapes occurs in dissolved and particulate form. While DP concentration represents a readily available source of P to aquatic plants, TP is preferred as an estimate of the long-term P loading and impact on surface water bodies (particularly lakes). The potential for P loss from the landscape is a function of the availability of P to flow and flow mechanisms that transport P to surface waters. While simple managements can be implemented to decrease potential P availability, such as fertilizer and manure...
management and maintaining soil P concentrations within crop requirements, management of flow regimes is more difficult. Hydrological flow pathways within the landscape are notoriously fickle and vary widely depending upon environmental conditions. Coupled to this, changes that occur once P is in flow also alter the concentration and form of P lost. Recent work has outlined some of these changes, such as the dominance of DP forms lost in flow from manured soils, the enrichment of TP (largely as P-rich fines) as flow events and flow paths increase, and the changes that occur in the erosion of sediment and PP with soil moisture conditions. Better knowledge has enabled us to derive BMPs to mitigate P loss from the landscape and, coupled with an analysis of the landscape, allow us to target these to CSAs which correspond to an overlap of high potential P availability and flow. Once P has left the field, additional managements can be implemented to prevent P moving further downstream. These include the use of riparian buffer strips to mitigate P, largely as PP, and natural or constructed wetlands. However, these measures have a finite lifespan and can later serve as a P source.

Despite efforts to retain P within the landscape, inevitably P will make it to surface waters. Here the impact will vary not only depending on the type of water body (small stream to large lake), but also the variety of aquatic plants present. In some cases it may not be possible to prevent P concentrations rising to levels high enough to support excessive aquatic plant life due to the geology of substrata. For these situations, a BMP should focus on minimizing when an algal bloom is most unwanted. With agricultural systems naturally tending towards a state of eutrophication, it is imperative that the perceived problem of eutrophication be linked with P loss mechanisms. The connectivity between the two requires knowledge of water body function and targeting the correct BMPs for the desired job. This also requires us to know if this is feasible, not only economically but also culturally. In essence, life-cycle analysis of the whole ecosystem is required to determine if environmental and agricultural objectives can be maximized within the same catchment and where policy and lawmaking can assist in the process.
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